


production of attachments in family groups, as the Court did in CMO 6, adequately addresses Plaintiffs' concerns about whether responsive documents are being found and produced.

4. Because Pfizer, following best practices, has collected all of a custodian's ESI and made it available for searching and review, the burden of applying Plaintiff's search terms is relatively small compared to the burden of employing an entirely new predictive coding software and "training" this system. Plaintiffs have not explained how the value of using predictive coding (over using their own search terms) outweighs the burden imposed by it. *See Kleen Prods.*, 2012 WL 4498465 at * 10. Therefore, the Court does not require Pfizer to use predictive coding.

Notice in Future Cases

5. In cases filed in or transferred to this MDL after the entry of this Order, the Clerk shall include a statement in the initial notice to counsel that Case Management Orders Nos. 1-7 govern all cases in the MDL proceedings and can be viewed on the Court's MDL website.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.



Richard Mark Gergel
United States District Court Judge

May 22, 2014
Charleston, South Carolina